points to one of the greatest limits of New Urbanism as a response to the problems of cities, New Urbanism is an anti-urban approach. In taking the colonial New England town as its model, it embodies the pastoralism of Thomas Jefferson over the urbanism of his rival Hamilton, but without Jefferson's emphasis on democratic community. By emphasizing the community sphere over the public sphere, New Urbanism can contribute to a loss of public life. As such, we lose an important avenue of individual growth (public life with strangers). We lose an avenue of political will formation that is outside of the state and corporation wand, we lose the marvel and wonder of the encounter with strangers Following Levinas, we become morally impoverished as the range of face-to-face encounters we have is ever more attenuated.

Civic Environmentalism proposes that design alone will not be the solution. Further, in terms of my earlier list of reasons to care about the fate of cities, I note that for Civic Environmentalism:

- Building the Public Sphere is central to any hope for transforming cities, communities, and ultimately selves.
- It does not take desire as fixed. Rather, Civic Environmentalists understand desires, selves, and communities as formed through on-going and interactive processes in which the quality of everyday experience is central. It does not have a predetermined idea of the design form, and so is open to contingency. Civic Environmentalists aim at "ends-in-view" which is the best we can think of and agree on given where we are now.
- Similarly, it does not assume a single way of living. Civic Environmentalists are open to the creative chaos of the city, but one made richer by political and community life.

I should note that Civic Environmentalism has thus far seldom been brought into direct dialogue with the practice and teaching of planning and architecture. As it is, it is quite likely that a codification of design principles will take place. However, given that the procedures by which Civic Environmentalism proposes responding to the problems of cities is one where the decisions are driven by those most involved, and that experts act as advisors, succumbing to the belief that design principles alone will suffice seems unlikely.

Note also that I am not arguing that New Urbanism has no place in responding to the crisis brought about by sprawl. The advocates of New Urbanism have been partially successful in placing the relationships between the form of cities and buildings and the quality of everyday life on the public agenda. New Urbanist design principles and practices embody many of the same values as Civic Environmentalism. What I am arguing is that in so far as New Urbanism is primarily a design paradigm, and assumes that through design alone we will solve social problems, it will fall short. Further, in so far as it trusts existing social relations and market structures to be sufficient to transform our urban areas, it risks reinforcing these problems. Civic Environmentalism is thus a more promising model with which to approach our urban problems.

References

- Benfield, F. K., Raimi, M., and Chen, D., 1999, Once There Were Greenfields, Natural Resources Defense Council and Surface Transportation Policy Project, New York.
- Benjamin, W., 1996, Walter Benjamin: Selected Writings, Volume 1, 1913–1926, Belknap Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Benjamin, W., 1999, The Arcades Project, Belknap Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Berube, A., 2001, Racial change in the largest cities: evidence from census 2000, *Brookings Institution* (21 July 2005), http://www.brookings.edu/es/urban/census/citygrowth.htm
- Boarnet, M. G., and Haughwout, A. F., 2000, Do highways matter? Evidence and policy implications of highways influence on metropolitan development, *Brookings Institution* (21 July 2005)http://www.brookings.edu/metro/boarnetexsum.htm
- Bullard, B. D., Johnson, G. S., and Torres, A. O., eds., 2000, *Sprawl City: Race, Politics, and Planning in Atlanta*, Island Press, Atlanta.
- Ciruli Associates, 2000, Denver, CO. (21 July 2005); http://www.ciruli.com/polls/CO-issues1.htm
- Davis, M., 1992, City of Quartz: Excavating the Future in Los Angeles, Vintage, New York.
- Dewey, J., 1980, Art as Experience, Perigee Books, New York.
- Duany Plater-Zyberk & Company, 1997, The Lexicon of the New Urbanism, Miami, self-published.
- Duany, A., Plater-Zyberk, E., and Speck, J., 2000, *Suburban Nation: The Rise of Sprawl and the Decline of the American Dream*, North Point Press, New York.
- Ewing, R., Pendall, R., Chen, D., 2000 (21 July 2005) Measuring sprawl and its impact: the character & consequences of metropolitan expansion, http://www.smartgrowthamerica.com/ sprawlindex/sprawlreport.html
- Federal Highway Administration, 2001, Washington, D.C., (21 July 2005); http://www.fhwa.dot. gov/reports/movingahead.htm
- Frey, W., 2001, City families and suburban singles: an emerging household story from census 2000, *Brookings Institution* (21 July 2005), http://www.brookings.edu/es/urban/census/ freyfamiliesexecsum.htm
- Glaeser, E., 2001, Job sprawl: employment location in U.S. metropolitan areas, *Brookings Institution* (21 July 2005, http://www.brookings.edu/es/urban/publications/glaeserjobsprawl.pdf
- Grange, J., 1999, The City: An Urban Cosmology, SUNY Press, Albany, NY.
- Habermas, J. 1991, *The Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere* trans: Thomas Burger, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Heavner, B., 2000, *Paving the Way: How Highway Construction has Contributed to Sprawl in Maryland*, Maryland Public Interest Research Group Foundation, Baltimore, MD.
- Holtzmann, A., 2004, Latin invasion, Architecture 93(3):21-23.
- Jameson, F., 1992, *Postmodernism, Or, the Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism*, Duke University Press, Durham, NC.
- John, D., 1994, *Civic Environmentalism: Alternatives to Regulation in States and Communities*, Congressional Quarterly Press, Washington, D.C.
- Ketcham, B., and Komanoff, C., 1992, Win-Win Transportation: A No-Losers Approach To Financing Transport in New York City and the Region; KEA, New York.
- Landy, M., Susman, M., and Knopman, D., 1999, *Civic Environmentalism in Action*, Progressive Policy Institute, Washington, D.C.
- Litman, T., 1992, Transportation Cost Survey, Victoria Transport Policy Institute, Victoria.
- MacKenzie, J., Dower, R., and Chen, D., 1992, *The Going Rate: What It Really Costs To Drive*, World Resources Institute, Washington DC.
- Mitchell, W. J., 2005, Placing Words: Symbols, Space, and the City, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Moffet, J., and Miller, P., 1993, *The Price of Mobility*, Natural Resources Defense Council, San Francisco, CA.
- Moore, C., 1965, You have to pay for the public life. Perspecta: Yale Architec. J. 9/10:57-97.